


- Any comparison to the location of this corner and the River place this corner in the vicinity of the location accepted in this survey. It is not physically possible to justify the location of this corner being 280 feet north of the position accepted in this survey.
- The road which was located in this survey near the valley bottom and who's location is projected through the section line would have to be ignored. As it's projected position conforms to the calls made by Byars.
- One would need to place no importance on the fact that any consideration or proportionate position would move the accepted position, not north but south.
- The preponderance of evidence indicates that this corner was monumented by Glaze at the position established by Byers. What I believe to be the original stone was recovered near the location of this corner.

When considering all these elements in concert, I believe there is more than a preponderance of evidence that this corner is in the proper position. When one considers if the corner should be 280 feet north, I believe there is proof that it could not have been in that position.

Even though I believe this corner to be in it's original position, I cannot say for certain that it is. If one assumes it was re-established by Glaze, it remains a "Local Corner", set by acceptable means, without gross error and has been relied on since 1913.

This corner must be used as the E1/4 Section 14, anything else would simply be wrong.



**RECEIVED AND  
FILED**

*DEC. 28, 2005*

OFFICE OF COUNTY SURVEYOR  
Attest: *[Signature]*