## Section Corner, S10, S11, S14, & 15

A Brass Cap monument clearly identifiable as set be Glaze is found. The only mention of this corner in Glaze's notes are as follows:

Return to Secs cor 10-11-15-14

West 2640.0 Gov Common cor ¼ (10/15) set iron post Brass cap marked and wooden Pos 4x4x4.0 scribed erected monument of stone

Since Glaze made no measurement to set this corner, it appears he must have had evidence here to have measured from.

The position of this monument conforms well with corners located East & South.

This corner conforms to the provisions of the above referenced Sec. 6-28 as discussed several times previously in this report, and due to similar reasoning, is accepted as the position of the Section Corner.

## ½ S15/S14

A Brass Cap monument clearly identifiable as set be Glaze is found. There is no mention of this corner in Glaze's notes, however it was clearly set by him. Whether he found original evidence here or set it from measurements is un-known.

This corner conforms to adjacent corners found and located during this survey; it has been used to identify property lines since 1914. Again this corner conforms to the criteria of Sec. 6.28 as discussed several times previously.

## **CONCLUSIONS:**

The eight corners which define the exteriors of this Section 14 are identified and located in this survey. There relationship to each other and to other adjacent and related controlling corners are identified, located and verified. Based on those measured relationships and the physical description of each corner and its relationship to the survey records, this survey clearly identifies and verifies all the evidence sited in this report.

It is clear that Glaze performed a Dependent Resurvey of the original survey by Byars, and reestablished corners pertinent to this Section 14, in acceptable methods and without gross error.

This survey simply verified those pertinent corners, accepted there validity and performed the required section subdivision in a normal manner.

As stated previously in this report, the controversial aspect of this survey is the location of the East ¼ Corner of this Section 14. In order to accept the postulation that this corner is 198 feet north of a road claimed to be the road called by Byars, and approximately north 280 feet from the position of the corner accepted in this survey, one would need to account for the following:

- A grossly distorted east section line with the north ½ being 2300 feet and the south ½ being 2974 feet would need to be accepted as reasonable. Based on the geometry of other sections in this Township I can-not accept this as reasobable.
- One would need to ignore detailed topography calls made by Byars, which I believe reliably locate the River, The Dalles Road, and the edge of the valley. Those calls are made clear when he calls an ascent after he calls the road, and identifies 1<sup>st</sup> rate level ground south of the road and 2<sup>nd</sup> rate rolling land to the north of the road. The location of his call for an ascent conforms to the location of the corner which has been previously accepted and is accepted in this survey.