SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS:

Corner (A): S34-S35/S2-S3, T.12 & 13S., R.30E.

I believe the stone that Jack found is the corner stone, however, I am not convinced that it is in its original position. According to Jack, he found this stone lying on the ground with the two marks down with a couple other stones.

CONCLUSION:
Inconclusive evidence as to the original position of this corner stone.

Corner (B): S2-S3/S10-S11, T.13S., R.30E.

I believe this to be the original corner stone, its position should probably be verified with corners east, south and west. Although, this may be considered supporting evidence, the position of the corner at (A) is not affected by this corner as the bearing and distance from (B) to (A) is a closing measurement.

CONCLUSION:
Supportive evidence only of corner position (A).

Corner (C): S12-S13/S7-S18, T.13S., R.30 & 31E.

This stone has two notches on one edge. One notch looks better than the other as to being chisel marks. I saw no other definite marks on this stone. Jack said he thought the stone was broken and the other marks (four notches) were on the missing piece. He said he had looked for the other piece but did not find it. I feel that if this were the corner stone, in its original undisturbed position, that the other piece of it with the four marks should be lying beside it.

CONCLUSION:
Inconclusive evidence as to the original position of this corner.

Corner (D): Corner of T.12 & 13S., R.30 & 31E.

The township corner stone is apparently missing, logging activity in the immediate area has probably destroyed the corner. The GLO record calls for