

After giving the matter much thought and carefully measuring and running of lines I am convinced that where I have located the corner will come nearest to reconciling the different conflicting measurements and lines than any other that make the <sup>course</sup> line of Sec 1 N 89 $32^{\circ}$  E 79.66 chains. This method throws all of the surplus or error into Sec 1. To corroborate this plan of throwing all the error into Sec 1 instead of giving a share to Sec 12 & call attention to the fact that the East boundary is 64.90 chains and the line gives it as being 56.90 a discrepancy of 8.00 chains. also the distance from the SW cor Sec 2 T 14 R 31 E to the NW cor Sec 2 T 14 R 31 the distance is 226.72 (intended - comes missing) while the Surveyor makes it 216.60 discrepancy of 9.82 chains. This same excess more or less continues in all of the north twenty sections of T 14 R 31 until you come to the S corner Sec 6. And from this point they have run the South line of Sec 6 North of West at an <sup>unusual</sup> angle and made connection with the SW cor of Sec 6 on <sup>alittle</sup> territory, To sum up they have evidently made a great error in measuring the lines running North from SW cor Sec 6 on the principal line or South boundary somewhere and when they gave their distances of the Closings lines they were given erroneously for all the closing lines to make them match up but from the position of the E $\frac{1}{4}$  Cor Sec 1 they have made a rough attempt to divide the surplus somewhat in the Closing Sections. These erroneous notes occur on my corner south to S. of the township. In measurement shown the and the latter Survey of the line I have set <sup>another</sup> set of corners to straighten matters up.

Proceed to W $\frac{1}{4}$  cor Sec 1

After giving the matter earnest consideration I decide that the most reasonable & most in harmony with the lines angles and distances and discrepancies to consider that the lines running through the Sec 1 East and West should be parallel to the South Line of Section And I place the W $\frac{1}{4}$  Corner according. The same being an iron pipe 2' dia. Set 24" deep split and spread at bottom for W $\frac{1}{4}$  cor Sec 1 T 14 R 31 E from which a Juniper 6'dia beam N 74 $58^{\prime \prime}$  W 131.4 ft marked BT near the base  
A bottom board 8' 10" 27" B 322.5" marked BT

Proceed to SW cor of NW $\frac{1}{4}$  x SW $\frac{1}{4}$

In regard to the corner the Surveyors say that one of 20 chain from the SW cor came out on back of the Creek. Now it happens that in days gone by that Mr. J. Wash. began Mining Operations (Hydraulic) at this very point. He washed the hill to the South and swept the tailings into the Creek all along in the vicinity of this particular corner and crowded the Creek way out of its channel 1-200 ft North. But there are some evidences left yet of where the old channel was. Any one can follow its old course around under the bluff approximately. And see that it could not have been far from where I have set this corner. I brought Mr. John Wash. onto the ground and asked him to point out as near as he could the Old channel. He pointed out the spot and testified that there was as close as he could fix it and I set the corner there the same being an iron pipe 2' dia set deep bottom split and spread set solid.

The SW Corner of Pat Mulcahy's cellar Bellair N.Y.  $32^{\circ}$  E 26.8 ft

Also east NE Cor of NW $\frac{1}{4}$  x SW $\frac{1}{4}$  Sec 1 T 14 R 31 E And iron pipe set 24" deep bottom split and spread set firm

The Plat Next Page Shows Course and distances

Michael Spears  
County Surveyor

Dec 24 1912 Last Official Survey

My term of Office expires Dec 31. I have had a very pleasant Term of 4 $\frac{1}{2}$  Years  
And appreciate the confidence and trust the County has reposed in me